Ukrainian Journal of Ecology, 2020, 10(5), 245-247, doi: 10.15421/2020_238

RESEARCH NOTE

Effect of CuSO4 on the growth of Brassica juncea L.

M.R. Pratik, B. Bavi

*St. Xavier's College (Autonomous), Mumbai-400001, India *Corresponding author E-mail: <u>pratik.bavi@xaviers.edu.in</u> <i>Received: 01.10.2020. Accepted 02.11.2020*

Seeds of Black Mustard (*Brassica juncea*) were sowed in a paper cup with copper sulfate at different concentration. This experiment aimed to determine the effect of the variation in concentration of copper sulphate on the germination and growth of seeds of *Brassica juncea*. We wanted to establish which is the highest concentration of copper that the seeds of Black Mustard can tolerate. Seedlings growth investigation and measurements were made after 5 days. The seed germination rate was low to no for the high concentration and for control that increased dramatically with the decrease in concentration. At high concentration, no growth was seen.

Keywords: Brassica juncea L; phytochemistry; CuSO₄

Introduction

Copper is a widespread pollutant of the industrial world originating from electric power plants, metal smelting plants, cars, agrochemicals such as pesticides, and sewage sludge (Verkleij, 1994). It is therefore of interest to know how organisms respond to a range of concentrations. Plants cannot flee unfavorable conditions and therefore are probably more exposed to local pollution. A major part of the studies of the effects of copper on plants has been conducted in solution cultures. Such studies provide relative toxicities of different toxicants, but results are difficult to extrapolate to natural growth media because of the high affinity of copper to chelate strongly to the organic fraction of the soil and because this chelation is pH dependent (Adriano, 1986). Toxic concentrations of copper affect plants in many ways. Copper can either cause deficiencies of other essential ways. Copper can either cause deficiencies of other essential nutrients by competitive exclusion at uptake sites (Lin and Wu, 1994) or it can be directly toxic.

Null Hypothesis: There is no significant effect of CuSO₄ on growth of *Brassica juncea* **Alternate Hypothesis:** There is significant effect of CuSO₄ on growth of *Brassica juncea*

Materials and Methods

An experiment was conducted in Lab at room temperature 26–29 °C and humidity about 76% to measure the effects of copper on growth of Black Mustard. Copper sulfate was applied to paper pots with cotton at base. A series of pots supplied with CuSO₄ in concentration 0.5%, 1.0%, 5%, and a control (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Set up of the experiment conducted in lab.

Each concentration/ control consists of 5 pots and 4 Black Mustard seeds were sowed in each pot at significant distance. The seeds of Black mustard were soaked in Distilled water for 24 hours prior to the experiment. Cotton was spread in 60 cups and labelled. CuSO₄ was added in cups. Then 4 seeds of *Brassica juncea* L. in each cup were sowed. The pots were hydrated with respective concentrations and distilled water for control after alternate days. The experiment was carried out for 5 days. In Observation the length of shoot was recorded on 6th day.

S. No.	Control (cm)	Conc. 0.5% (cm)	Conc. 1% (cm)	Conc. 5% (cm)
1	6.5	3.7	0.6	0.0
2	5.4	2.6	0.3	0.0
3	6.0	3.0	0.1	0.0
4	4.5	2.7	0.2	0.0
5	6.2	2.5	0.4	0.0
6	4.2	3.1	0.4	0.0
7	4.9	2.9	0.3	0.0
8	6.1	3.2	0.7	0.1
9	5.8	3.9	0.6	0.1
10	3.7	2.6	0.4	0.1
11	6.0	1.7	0.1	0.0
12	5.9	2.1	0.1	0.0
13	4.6	1.9	0.2	0.2
14	5.4	3.2	0.4	0.0
15	5.7	2.8	0.3	0.0
16	6.1	3.9	0.3	0.0
17	5.5	4.1	1.0	0.0
18	5.5	4.0	0.9	0.1
19	5.7	3.1	0.6	0.2
20	4.5	3.2	0.8	0.1

ONEWAY

ONEWAY /VARIABLES= ShootLength BY Concentration /STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVES HOMOGENEITY .

Descriptives									
						95% Confidence Interval for Mean			
		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Minimum	Maximum
ShootLength	Control	20	5.41	.76	.17	5.05	5.77	3.7	6.5
	0.5%	20	3.01	.68	.15	2.69	3.33	1.7	4.1
	1%	20	.44	.27	.06	.31	.56	.1	1.0
	5%	20	.05	.07	.02	.01	.08	.0	.2
	Total	80	2.23	2.24	.25	1.73	2.72	.0	6.5

 Levene Statistic
 dfl
 df2
 Sig.

 ShootLength
 14.01
 3
 76
 .000

ANOVA

AllerA										
		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.				
ShootLength	Between Groups	374.34	3	124.78	444.99	.000				
	Within Groups	21.31	76	.28						
	Total	395.65	79							

Fig. 2. Analysis of variance using PSPP.

The tabulated F value at 0.5% significance level is 444.46514 Hence, the Null hypothesis is rejected and alternate hypothesis is accepted.

Results and Discussion

The effect of CuSO₄ had adverse effect on the growth of plants as we can see the difference in following Table 1 as the concentration of CuSO₄ increased the growth has significantly decreased comparing to control. With concentration of 0.5% the mean shoot length decreased by 50% from the mean shoot length of control. Following increase in concentration of CuSO₄ led to decrease by 70% comparing to control and 90% for 5% conc. of CuSO₄. The calculated F=444.46 is highly significant with p=0.000, which is higher than the observed F value 2.76, hence the null hypothesis is rejected. The variation in shoot length was not the matter of chance, CuSO₄ concentration showed significant effect on the length of shoot (Fig. 2). There is a significant negative effect of CuSO₄ on growth of *Brassica juncea*. Therefore CuSO₄ from industrial waste should be

Acknowledgement

processed prior to direct exposure in environment.

The author would sincerely like to thank Dr. Kevin D'Cruz, Associate Professor of Botany, and Mr. Saif Khan, Department Of Botany, St. Xavier's College (Autonomous), Mumbai for his valuable guidance throughout the study.

References

- Boros, M-N., Micle, V. (2015). Effects of copper-induced stress on seed germination of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Agricultura Ştiinţă și practică. Agriculture Science and Practice, 3-4, 17-23.
- Ebbs, S D, & Kochian, L V. Toxicity of zinc and copper to Brassica species: Implications for phytoremediation. United States. doi:10.2134/jeq1997.00472425002600030026x.
- Feigl, G., Kumar, D., Lehotai, N., Pető, A., Molnár, Á., Rácz, É., Ördög, A., Erdei, L., Kolbert, Z., & Laskay, G. (2015). Comparing the effects of excess copper in the leaves of Brassica juncea (L. Czern) and Brassica napus (L.) seedlings: Growth inhibition, oxidative stress and photosynthetic damage. Acta biologica Hungarica, 66(2), 205–221. https://doi.org/10.1556/018.66.2015.2.7
- Feigl, G., Kumar, D., Lehotai, N., Tugyi, N., Molnár, A., Ordög, A., Szepesi, A., Gémes, K., Laskay, G., Erdei, L., & Kolbert, Z. (2013).
 Physiological and morphological responses of the root system of Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern.) and rapeseed (Brassica napus L.) to copper stress. Ecotoxicology and environmental safety, 94, 179–189.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2013.04.029
- Kjaer, C., Elmegaard, N. (1996). Effects of copper sulfate on Black bindweed (*Polygonum convolvulus* L.). Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 33(2), 110-117.
- Mourato, M. P., Moreira, I. N., Leitão, I., Pinto, F. R., Sales, J. R., & Martins, L. L. (2015). Effect of Heavy Metals in Plants of the Genus Brassica. International journal of molecular sciences, 16(8), 17975–17998. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms160817975</u>
- Purnhauser, L., Gyulai, G. (1993). Effect of copper on shoot and root regeneration in wheat, triticale, rape and tobacco tissue cultures. Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult, 35, 131–139. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00032962</u>
- Tsuda, M., Ohsawa, R., & Tabei, Y. (2014). Possibilities of direct introgression from Brassica napus to B. juncea and indirect introgression from B. napus to related Brassicaceae through B. juncea. Breeding science, 64(1), 74–82. https://doi.org/10.1270/jsbbs.64.74
- Zaheer, I. E., Ali, S., Rizwan, M., Farid, M., Shakoor, M. B., Gill, R. A., Najeeb, U., Iqbal, N., & Ahmad, R. (2015). Citric acid assisted phytoremediation of copper by Brassica napus L. Ecotoxicology and environmental safety, 120, 310–317. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.06.020</u>

Citation:

Pratik, M.R., Bavi, B. (2020). Effect of CuSO4 on the growth of *Brassica juncea* L. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology, 10*(5), 245-247.